RAJYA SABHA

Tuesday, 5th December 1995/
14th Agrahayana 1917 (Saka)

The House met at eleven of the clock.

The Deputy Chairman *in the Chair.*

WELCOME TO SOUTH ASIA DELEGATION OF EUROPEAN UNION

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Hon. Members, I have an announcement to make.

We have with us, seated in the Special Box, Memebrs of the South Asia delegation of the European Parliament currently on a visit to our country under the distinguished leadership of His Excellency Mr. Philippe Monfils, Chairman of the South Asia delegation.

On behalf of the Members of the House and on my own behalf, I take pleasure in extending a hearty welcome to the Leader and other Members of the delegation and wish our distinguished guests an enjoyable and fruitful stay in our country. We hope that during their stay here, they would be able to see and learn more about our parliamentary system, our country and our people. Through them, we convey our greetings and best wishes to the European Union.

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

*121. *[The Questioners (Shri T. Venkatram Reddy and Shri G. Prathapa Reddy) were absent for answer vide col. 30 infra.]*

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Question No. 122—Shri Jagmohan.

Raids conducted by CBI against customs officials

*122. SHRI JAGMOHAN: Will the Minister of FINANCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is a lot of resentment among the staff of Customs and Excise on account of the manner in which raids have been conducted by the CBI against some subordinate officials of Customs; and

(b) whether to instil confidence in the customs services and to ensure that honest officers are not harassed alongwith the dishonest elements, improved procedures including the procedure of taking some senior officers of the Customs into confidence before conducting such raids are contemplated or not?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF FINANCE: (SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY): (a) and (b) There was some resentmentl amongst the staff of customs & excise about the manner in which the CBI conducted searches against some subordinate officials of the customs department recently. The Department is always in touch with the CBI on such matters and whenever any instance of harassement is brought to its notice, the matter is taken up at the appropriate level of the CBI. Further, procedure already exist for informing the concerned Head of the Department/Office regarding searches.

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI: Madam, please recognise me.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If you are present in the House, I will definitely recognise you. If you are absent from the House, how can I recognise you?

श्री दिग्विजय सिंह: मैडम, ट्रेजरी बेंच एकदम खाली पड़ी है। पूरा बेंच खाली है, आप देखिए।

DR. BIPLAB DASGUPTA: Madam, the Treasury Benches are empty because all the ruling party Members wanted to become Ministers. This is happening every day...*(interruptions)*...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Please take a count of the Members belonging to your party. There are only three Members from the CPM who are present in the House.

श्री दिग्विजय सिंहः अगर शिंदे साहब न बैठे होते तो पूरी बेंच खाली है।

श्री सुशील कुमार संभाजीराव शिन्देः अकेला आदमी सफीशिएंट है... (व्यवधान)...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please, order in the House...*(interruptions)*... *Order in the House.*

SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA: Madam, the Treasury Benches are absolutely empty.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: The Government does not appear to be interest in governing the country. Then, let the Government quit.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This is Question Hour. As I said yesterday, it is Private Members time. If you put a question, the Government is there to answer you. Those who are interested are in the House and those who are not interested are not here. If some of the Members are not in the House, it is their loss. Why are you worried? If there are less Members, you will get more opportunities to put questions. If there are many Members, you will have lesser opportunities.

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Madam, there are only three Members from the CPM present in the House and he is questioning about the Treasury Benches..

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Mr. Narayanasamy, that issue is over. Please sit down.

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA: Mr. Narayanasamy, they are not Members of the Cabinet. We are concerned about the Members of the Cabinet. You are unnecessarily talking about the Opposition Members.

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: The Cabinet is present here.

SHRI CHATURANAN MISHRA: You are presiding over it!

SHRI JAGMOHAN: Madam Deputy Chairman, my first supplementary is, what is the internal mechanism within the Central Board of Customs and Excise to check corruption and malpractices?

And, is this internal mechanism so ineffective that you have to have a CBI raid in the entire office in which 25 persons were there and, maybe, some honest people were also harassed along with people who might not be so honest? When you are conducting a raid of this nature in the office, why is it not possible to take into confidence the senior most Member of the Customs Board, say, the Chairman or the Chief Vigilance Officer of the Customs Department?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: Madam, I wish to inform the hon. Member that we have an internal mechanism to check corruption. The Department has a vigilance set-up for both preventive vigilance and also for taking action against corrupt officials. During the year 1995-96, 368 surprise checks were carried out by various vigilance formulations and we have taken action against the corrupt officials.

SHRI JAGMOHAN: You have not answered my question: Is it so ineffective that the CBI had to raid the whole office? You have not answered this point. *(Interruptions)*

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: We have the internal mechanism to check corruption in the Department, Madam, but on receipt of some information...*(Interruptions)*

SHRI JAGMOHAN: But what is the effectiveness of that mechanism *vis-a-vis* what happened in this raid?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: Madam I wish to inform the hon. Member the details of the searches and the action taken against the officials if the hon. Member wants to know. We have initiated disciplinary proceedings against 417 officials in 1993, 333 officials in 1994 and 336 officials in 1995 up to the month of November. The number of officials who have been punished departmentally or convicted by the cou

was 181 in 1993, 139 in 1993-94 and 72 in 1995 up to the month of November.

SHRI JAGMOHAN: The second supplementary which I wish to ask is, in view of the fact that there is a widespread feeling or a sizeable section of public opinion which believes that the CBI is sometimes used not to unravel but to cover up the truth and to ensure its impartial and objective functioning, particularly when its writ runs over all the departments, including the revenue earning departments, why is it not possible for the Government to agree to have a statutory board or an institution like the Lok Pal under which the CBI should be placed so that it can evoke greater public confidence in its impartial and objective functioning, with regard to both investigations and prosecutions?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: I wish to inform the hon. Member that this supplementary about the functioning of the CBI should be addressed to a different Ministry.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: Madam Chairperson, the question of morale of the officers has two aspects. One is that those who are erring, those who are defaulting, those who commit mistakes, should be punished. On the other hand, those who discharge their duties effectively and properly, should be rewarded and they should, at least, not be needlessly punished. While I have supported action being taken against the Customs Officers, at the same time, I feel that fair treatment should be meted out to them. I would like to draw attention to a recent case and then put my question to the Minister. Recently there was a case where a particular VIP thought that he was beyond the law...

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: Don't say 'VIP'. He is a Minister.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: Yes. He is a Minister. He thought that he was beyond the law. So, the question is whether the rule of law applies to each and everybody or some people are beyond it. The second thing which I would like to point out is that the officer, the lady officer who has been transferred, seeks gender justice. I would like to draw your attention to the fact that lady ambassadors in this country were appointed only after the Supreme Court was approached by one of the lady officers. Here is a question of gender justice which we often discuss. The third thing that I would like to point out is that in this particular case the officers below the Minister were used to get out things on which duty should have been paid.

That means, it is the Minister, it is the VIP who tried to criminalise or involve the officers in the criminal activity. Evasion of payment of duty and attempt to cover it up is also a criminal activity. Therefore, there is another...

SHRI SURINDER KUMAR SINGLA: He is not coming to the question. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: Sometimes, some hon. Members want to be Ministers. That is why he was always up and down, but he has missed his bus. Whenever the hon. Members starts speaking, he starts with some prefaratory remarks. On a rare occasion when I have a chance, Madam, I beg to catch your eye. Singlaji must pop up.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: I am quite sure that if Shri Narayanasamy becomes a Minister, he will still behave in the same way. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: I wish him all the best. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: Madam, I have become the target of the Opposition. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: The fourth thing that I would like to submit in this connection is that even some misinformation by Govt. was spread that this particular officer was

being changed because there were complaints from some embassies. This is said to have happened three-four months back. I would like to know how the Ministry try to punish the guilty and erring officials. The inability of the Ministry is quite evident when it could not identify the erring individuals, and it had to adopt some omnibus approach to customs offices. I would like to ask the hon. Minister as to what efforts he is making to see that persons who discharge their duties are not harassed and punished. This is a question which goes beyond the Central Excise and Customs and the Department of Revenue. It is applicable...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: You are giving the whole history. Please frame your question.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: Madam, this Government does not even listen to the voice of history.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMN: I am listening. Please frame your question.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: My question is this. What steps is the Ministry taking to protect the honest officers so that they are able to discharge their duties effectively? What is being done to do justice to this particular officer who has been needlessly victimised and about whom the entire Press in the country has written?

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Out of the whole thing which he has said the thing is that there was some news in the newspapers to which he reacted and gave some information. So, you specifically react whether that person was penalised or not. Is anybody above the rules or not?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: Madam, first I would like to deal with how the transfers are effected in the Department.

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: I am not interested in it. I had been in the Government for 34 years. Do you want to listen more about transfer policy from me?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: I will come to that. ...*(Interruptions)*...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Chaturvediji, you have made a very lengthy statement. Let him open his mouth. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI TRILOKI NATH CHATURVEDI: Madam, you know, this Government has no policy of its own. ...*(Interruptions)*...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please listen to him. Have patience. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: Madam, we have delegated the powers to the Central Board of Excise and Customs regarding transfers of Commissioners and Deputy Commissioners of the Central Board of Excise and Customs. The hon. Member has referred to a lady officer. She had been in Delhi since 1989. Normally, according to the transfer guidelines. ...*(Interruptions)*...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please, let him answer. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: In 1994 she had been shifted from this place. Only on her request, on a representation, she had been retained at Delhi. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI GOVINDRAM MIRI: You transferred her at the behest of a Minister. ...*(Interruptions)*...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please, Miriji, this is still the Question Hour. Let him answer. ...*(Interruptions)*...

If you want a proper answer, and justice to be done to the people, let the Minister answer it. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: Madam, I wish to state that he has paid a duty of Rs. 1,11,217/-, a

redemption fine of Rs. 25,000/- and a penalty of Rs. 10,000/-. He had filled up the O.D. Card stating that he was bringing four suitcases and two brief cases. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: Was the assessed value correct? I am prepared to buy the entire lot from the Minister including the duty and the fine. ...*(Interruptions)*...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please, right now, let the Minister make his statement. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: You have to put the question to the Minister. *(Interruptions)* I can assure the hon. Member and the august House, Madam, that the present transfer and that particular incident have nothing to do with the transfer of the lady officer. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: Why was this clarification not given to the Press? ...*(Interruptions)*...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Swaminathan. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Madam, is it justifiable on the part of a Minister to have brought. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: This is between the CBI and the Customs officials. ...*(Interruptions)*...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Let me hear it, please. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Is it right and justifiable on the part of a Cabinet Minister of the Central Government to have brought in excess baggage. ...*(Interruptions)*... You have called me, do not allow him, Madam.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Is that a question framed to the Minister?

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Yes, it is to the Minister. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI AJIT P.K. JOGI: There should be a prior notice to that. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI V. NARAYANASAMY: That is between the CBI and the Customs officials....*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Do not drag me. Do not try to protect the Minister. ...*(Interruptions)*... I have not mentioned the name of any Minister. I have only said ...*(Interruptions)*... Please protect me, Madam. ...*(Interruptions)*...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Please sit down. ...*(Interruptions)*... Just a minute, please. Do not get agitated. This forum is open to questions by anybody who is a Member of this House or even a Minister. There is no problem about it because I myself have given a ruling in this very House that the Members can question the Minister and the Minister also has a right to give his personal explanation. We all know, whether you mention the name or do not mention, about whom you are referring to. All of us know. Yes, we know. There is a certain procedure if you want to mention somebody by name. And the procedure is that you have to give a prior notice of it and I will see to it that the particular person is present over here to give his or her explanation. I have allowed umpteen Members. ...*(Interruptions)*... No clapping, please.

Don't think that I am biased. Mr. Narayanasamy, ...*(Interruptions)*...You know ...*(Interruptions)*... Mr. Narayanasamy, I am sorry that you people ...*(Interruptions)*... I have tried to sit in this Chair regardless of the fact that I belong to this party. I tried to protect everybody. I am trying to protect the Constitution and I am trying to protect the rights of the Members, whether it is you or the other Swamy. All the Swamis in this House are protected. ...*(Interruptions)*... I have made it clear, whether it is Gopalsamy or whether it is Narayanasamy or whether it is Swaminathan in this House or anybody else. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: He is god of all *'swamis'*.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: If you

need my protection, I will protect you. ...*(Interruptions)*... The moment I give any ruling, it helps to keep the esteem of the house high. If anybody claps in this house, it looks that I am biased towards them or against somebody. It is not so. If they want to make any comment against the Minister, please write to us. We will see that the Minister is here and he can give a personal explanation. That is part of the rule. About the present question, I will not come in the way at all to hide any facts from this House. ...*(Interruptions)*... This is what I have been saying. This is what I have been telling everyday. This is your own forum and you can raise every issue on the floor of the House when you want to raise it. But, please, this particular question is in a different context. So, please, confine yourselves to the question. But, this forum is free for you and there are other devices by which you can censure anybody you like, whether he is a Member of this House or whether he is a Minister. ...*(Interruptions)*...

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: I am following the answer given by the hon. Minister. A question was asked whether the lady officer had been transferred because of the instance of a Minister. The Minister has said that it has nothing to do with the incident and the lady officer has been transferred *suo motu*. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether, according to the Ministry of Finance, it is right on the part of a Minister to have brought in goods worth rupees one lakh for which he has been charged. What is the feeling of the hon. Minister about this matter? I am asking this question from the Finance Ministry and the Finance Minister. I am not castigating any Minister. There is no necessity for you to castigate him I am only asking about the feeling of the hon. Minister, whether it is appropriate on the part of an hon. Minister of the Cabinet to have brought in goods which are in excess and to have paid a fine. What do you feel and what do you say? I am asking the Minister. This is one question.

Another point is this. Yesterday, Madam, there had been a Press report that in the All India Institute of Medical Sciences. ...*(Interruptions)*...

AN HON. MEMBER: Lohia Hospital.

SHRI G. SWAMINATHAN: Sorry, it is Lohia Hospital. There had been a CBI raid there and a doctor had been found to have received Rs. 3,200/- bribe. Then what happened. All the doctors joined together and gheraoed the CBI officials .....*(Interruptions)*..... People have taken it for granted that corruption is a normal affair and anybody who interferes is subjected to gheraos I would like to know from the hon. Minister as to what is the protection that he wants to give to the CBI on such gheraos that are taking place not only by the Customs officers but also by doctors.....*(Interruptions)*.....I am only saying that you give protection to them. Finally, one more thing.....*(Interruptions)*.....Yesterday, Madam, it was averred in the Supreme Court that somebody paid money to the Chief Minister of a particular State and he said that he got the money from students and he paid it to him. This has become so usual. I would like to know from the hon. Minister whether the Minister would come forward and devise a Lokpal or some other bill like that so that people can have faith in the system and the system is not derailed because of corruption in high places.

SHRI AJIT P.K. JOGI: These '*swamis*' will be all right when they listen to Jogi.

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: I wish to inform the hon. Member that anyone who brings dutiable goods has to pay duty and get his goods cleared. Madam, there is no exception to this. Accordingly, the said VVIP has paid the duty and cleared the goods. Regarding the raid.... *(Interruptions)*.....

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This is not the way, please. Enough is enough. Let him answer. This is not the way. Is it

Question Hour or Zero Hour? I have identified one Member. The Minister is answering. If you want to do it, then you do it yourself.

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: Madam, regarding the CBI raid on a doctor, I have no information about it. This question has to be addressed to the Ministry of Personnel. The CBI falls under the Ministry of Personnel.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Shri Ram Jethmalani, you are identified.

SHRI RAM JETHMALANI: Thank you, Madam. While I am not unduly perturbed about the concern felt by Mr. Jagmohan about innocent officers some amount of inconvenience is inevitable as far as innocent officers are concerned. When there is a surprise raid which involes so many people, I am sure the innocent ones will immediately get off because nothing could be found against them. So, that inconvenience must be tolerated in the interest of investigation in general. But, Madam, I am not worried about the duplicity and hypocrisy of the Government in this matter. After all, it is a matter of common knowledge that almost every second or third day we read in the newspapers that a foreign visitor, an NRI, and innocent tourist is literally ill-treated and subjected to the worst kind of treatment. Some people have landed up in flase and illegal coustody and they could and they could not come out till they were rescued by payment of ransom. Now, you have the Prevention of Corruption Act, you have the COFEPOSA. Every Customs afficer is also guilty of depleting the foreign exchange reserves in this country. I would like to know whetehr there was a single case in which a corrupt Customs official have ever been punished under the normal law or subjected to detention under COFEPOSA? On the other hand, I want another enquiry to be made. You have a rule or a departmental practice whereunder if the goods brought are in excess of one lakh of rupees in value, then merely levying duty and penalty are not adequate; the culprit must be prosecuted in the court of law under the criminal law of the country. Why is that rule lying limp? Why is it not being enforced against the so-called VIPs?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: About action taken against the officials, I have already answered in the first part of my reply.

SHRI DIGVIJAY SINGH: Have you ever convicted anybody?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: Actually I have no information at present. I will collect and give it. (*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Is there any rule that if anybody brings in goods worth more than one lakh of rupees, he should be prosecuted. Is there any such rule?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: It is an appealable order and after adjudication of the ....(*Interruptions*)...

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: One minute, please. Just one second. One minute, please.

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: In addition to that, I wish to inform that there is no restriction of one lakh of rupees on the goods being brought into the country.

SHRI GOPALSINH G. SOLANKI: Madam, I have a very short question. Is it not a fact that the ward is pressurised by erring VIPs for peremptory transfers of the Customs Officers?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: I have already replied to this.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: This has been replied to.

SHRI SATYA PRAKASH MALAVIYA: The Minsiter has stated that there is some resentment among the Customs and Excise staff against the

manner in which the CBI conducted the raid. May I know what the complaints were about the subordinate staff of this department?

SHRI M.V. CHANDRASHEKHAR MURTHY: They alleged that their colleagues were abused, manhandled and beaten up while the search was being conducted. They also struck work. To avoid disruption in work, Group A officers from various, Directorates, and Group B officers from outside offices were deputed to take care of the work. Their resentment is noted. The Department has been clearly instructed to protect the sincere and honest officials.

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: Question No. 123.

SHRI VIREN J. SHAH: Madam, I would like to know from the hon. Minister...(*Interruptions*)

THE DEPUTY CHAIRMAN: We have already spent half-an-hour on this Question. Question No. 123. (*Interruptions*)

*123. *[The Questioner (Shri Dilip Singh Judev) was absent. For answer vide col. 31 infra.]*

Construction of Hotel by Airports Authority without Sanction Plan

*124. SHRI RAJNI RANJAN SAHU: Will the Minister of CIVIL AVIATION AND TOURISM be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the International Airports Authority/ National Airports Authority have constructed a big building (hotel) on Jaipur Road opposite to Indira Gandhi International Airport without getting the plan sanctioned from DDA; and

(b) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF CIVIL AVIATION AND TOURISM (SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD): (a) and (b) No, Sir. Airports Authority of India have leased a plot of land to M/s A.B. Hotels Ltd. for construction of a motel on the land vested with the Airports Authority of India and therefore, specific approval of the construction Plans by the DDA is not considered necessary. Airports Authority of India have furnished their reply to the Notice received from DDA in September, 1995 in this regard.

श्री रजनी रंजन साहू: उपसभापति महोदया, मंत्री महोदय ने वास्तविकता से हट कर उत्तर देने की कोशिश की है। एयरपोर्ट अथारिटी गरीब ग्रामवासियों से ज़मीन ले कर एयरपोर्ट के विकास के लिए काम करती है, इस प्रकार का प्रावधान एयरपोर्ट आथारिटी एक्ट में किया गया है। ग्रामीणों से ज़मीन ले कर किसी निजी संस्थान को देने का कहीं भी प्रावधान एयरपोर्ट अथारिटी के एक्ट में नहीं है। महोदया, मंत्री महोदय ने हमारे प्रश्न (क) और (ख) का उत्तर जी नहीं कह कर गलत कामों पर पर्दा डाला है। दिल्ली के मास्टर प्लान एवं दिल्ली के डी०डी०ए० एक्ट के अनुसार ऐसी सभी जमीनें जो ऑपरेशनल एरिया के बाहर अधिग्रहण की जाती है, उन पर किसी भी प्रकार के कंस्ट्रक्शन के लिए डी०डी०ए० एवं अन्य संबंधित विभागों से नक्शा पास करवाना आवश्यक समझा जाता है। जो जमीन होटल के लिए निजी संस्थान को दिया गया है वह ऑपरेशनल एरिया के बाहर है। मंत्री महोदय इस बात पर गौर करेंगे कि दो हिस्से होते हैं, एक ऑपरेशनल एरिया होता है और दूसरा नॉन ऑपरेशनल एरिया होता है। ऑपरेशनल एरिया में जब आप कोई कंस्ट्रक्शन करेंगे उसके लिए आप कोई परमिशन नहीं लेंगे लेकिन जो आप नॉन ऑपरेशनल एरिया में कंस्ट्रक्शन करेंगे तो उसके लिए परमिशन लेना आवश्यक है। मैं यह कहना चाहूंगा जैसे कि आपने उत्तर में भी हवाला दिया है कि डी०डी०ए० ने कोई नोटिस दिया है जिसका उत्तर इन्होंने दे दिया है। क्या मंत्री महोदय यह बताने की कोशिश करेंगे कि जो डी०डी०ए० ने नोटिस दिया है जिसका रेफरेंस उत्तर जिन्होंने दिया है उससे डी०डी०ए० असंतुष्ट है और कोई सख्त कदम उठाने की नोटिस की बात आपके जानकारी में आई है या नहीं? इन सारी बातों को ध्यान में रखते हुए क्या ऐसे पदाधिकारियों के खिलाफ जिन्होंने गलत ढंग से काम किया है कोई जांच करने का आश्वासन सदन को देंगे?

SHRI GHULAM NABI AZAD: Madam, a notice was received from the DDA on 15th March, 1994, asking the Airports Authority of India to explain about their not taking permission before